Table 1
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
SI Satisfaction Questions (attitude towards SI)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Favo	orable Scores	6			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	Score	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	78%	33%	46%	12%	7%	3%	3539	75%	74%	78%	76%	76%	77%			
Considering everything, I am satisfied with the Smithsonian.	Federal	77%	33%	44%	12%	7%	4%	2333	74%	77%	76%	76%	75%	74%	74%	81%	57%
	Trust	80%	32%	48%	12%	6%	2%	1206	76%	80%	80%	79%	80%	82%	84%	84%	
I would recommend the	All Employees	79%	37%	42%	13%	5%	3%	3529	77%	77%	78%	78%	76%	78%			
Smithsonian as a good place to work.	Federal	79%	37%	42%	13%	5%	3%	2329	76%	77%	77%	77%	76%	78%	79%	74%	64%
work.	Trust	81%	37%	43%	13%	4%	2%	1200	78%	77%	82%	80%	81%	81%	84%	79%	

Note: SEPS and Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS) questions may differ on wording. For the 2016 FEVS results, see https://www.fedview.opm.gov/2016/Reports/ResponseWPCT.asp?AGY=ALL&SECT=6 Smithsonian Enterprise employees are included with the Trust workforce component in 2017.

STRI local Pnamanian employees are included with Feeral or Trust components as specified in HRMS (Human Resrources Management System).

Table 2
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components

SI Communications Questions (communications from SI leaders and other units and employees, including collaborations)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Score	s			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
I am satisfied that I have received	All Employees	77%	21%	57%	15%	6%	2%	3499	74%	75%	76%	80%	79%	76%			(20201210)
appropriate information, or can access appropriate information, about new policies and procedures	Federal	77%	22%	56%	15%	6%	2%	2312	74%	76%	78%	80%	79%	76%	74%	74%	38%**
	Trust	77%	19%	59%	13%	7%	3%	1187	74%	73%	74%	77%	78%	75%	74%	73%	
Smithsonian leaders and managers promote	All Employees	57%	13%	44%	21%	15%	6%	3460	54%	55%	56%	64%	63%	60%			
communication and collaboration across	Federal	59%	14%	45%	21%	14%	6%	2285	53%	56%	56%	63%	63%	59%	50%		52%*
Smithsonian units.	Trust	55%	11%	43%	23%	18%	5%	1175	51%	54%	58%	64%	62%	60%	46%		
	All Employees	89%	38%	50%	8%	2%	1%	3533	88%	89%	89%	87%	85%	86%			
I know how my work relates to the Smithsonian's goals and priorities.	Federal	88%	38%	50%	9%	2%	1%	2331	87%	88%	88%	86%	84%	84%	86%	82%	83%
priorities.	Trust	91%	39%	51%	6%	2%	1%	1202	89%	91%	91%	89%	88%	88%	92%	88%	

^{*} FEVS question is communication alone.

^{**} FEVS question is "How satisfied are you with the information your receive from management on what's going on in your organization?"

Table 3
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
SI Leadership Questions (SI leaders and managers setting tone and values)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Favo	orable Score	S			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
I am satisfied with the policies	All Employees	70%	17%	53%	22%	6%	2%	3387	65%	66%	65%	67%	63%	61%			
and practices enacted by the Smithsonian's senior leaders.	Federal	69%	17%	52%	22%	6%	3%	2253	64%	64%	63%	66%	62%	60%	65%	59%	42%
Simulsonian's senior leaders.	Trust	72%	15%	57%	21%	6%	2%	1134	64%	66%	67%	67%	67%	60%	65%	59%	
I feel that I can bring up issues of incivility and disrespect to	All Employees	73%	37%	36%	13%	8%	6%	3410	71%	71%	70%	70%	72%				
my supervisor or superiors with the expectation that those	Federal	72%	36%	36%	14%	8%	6%	2252	69%	70%	69%	66%	70%				
issues will be addressed.	Trust	75%	39%	36%	12%	8%	6%	1158	74%	75%	74%	78%	77%				

Table 4
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Unit Satisfaction (attitude towards Unit))

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Score	S			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	73%	27%	46%	13%	9%	5%	3536	70%	69%	69%	70%	72%	72%			
Considering everything, I am satisfied with my Unit.	Federal	71%	26%	46%	14%	10%	5%	2334	67%	67%	65%	68%	69%	69%	67%		
	Trust	76%	29%	47%	12%	8%	5%	1202	72%	72%	74%	76%	78%	80%	78%		
	All Employees	72%	30%	42%	15%	8%	5%	3532	70%	69%	69%	69%	68%	70%			
I would recommend my Unit as a good place to work.	Federal	71%	29%	42%	16%	8%	5%	2329	68%	68%	66%	67%	66%	68%	68%	65%	64%
	Trust	74%	32%	41%	14%	8%	4%	1203	71%	70%	72%	74%	74%	77%	75%	71%	
	All Employees	82%	35%	48%	12%	4%	2%	3498	81%	80%	80%	79%	78%	73%			
My Unit successfully accomplishes its mission.	Federal	82%	33%	49%	12%	5%	2%	2303	79%	77%	78%	76%	76%	70%	71%		74%
	Trust	84%	38%	46%	12%	3%	1%	1195	86%	85%	85%	82%	85%	80%	85%		
The overall quality of work	All Employees	90%	49%	41%	8%	2%	1%	3503	90%	90%	88%	89%	88%	89%			
done by my immediate work unit is very good.	Federal	89%	47%	42%	8%	2%	1%	2306	89%	89%	87%	87%	87%	87%	87%		82%
unit is very good.	Trust	91%	54%	37%	6%	2%	1%	1197	92%	92%	91%	92%	92%	93%	94%		

Table 5
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components

Unit Communications (communications from Unit leaders and other units and employees, including collaborations)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Favo	orable Score	S			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
The people in my immediate	All Employees	89%	42%	47%	7%	3%	1%	3506	87%	87%	95%	82%	83%	84%			
The people in my immediate work unit cooperate to get the job done.	Federal	88%	40%	48%	8%	3%	1%	2312	86%	85%	94%	82%	81%	82%	82%	77%	73%
	Trust	90%	45%	45%	5%	4%	1%	1194	91%	90%	97%	85%	88%	88%	89%	84%	
Employees in my immediate	All Employees	86%	41%	45%	8%	5%	1%	3495	85%	83%	86%	81%	81%	82%			
work unit share job knowledge with each other.	Federal	85%	39%	46%	8%	5%	2%	2302	84%	83%	84%	79%	79%	80%	80%	76%	73%
with each other.	Trust	88%	45%	44%	7%	4%	1%	1193	89%	86%	88%	85%	87%	85%	85%	84%	
In my most recent performance	All Employees	82%	36%	46%	10%	5%	3%	3401	81%	81%	84%	84%	83%	84%			
appraisal, I understood what I had to do to be rated at	Federal	82%	37%	46%	10%	5%	3%	2256	81%	80%	82%	83%	83%	84%	81%	78%	69%
different performance levels.	Trust	82%	35%	47%	9%	6%	4%	1145	81%	84%	86%	87%	87%	89%	87%	84%	
My Unit's Director	All Employees	73%	31%	42%	16%	7%	4%	3285	72%	70%	70%	67%	72%	75%			
communicates my Unit's goals and priorities.	Federal	72%	30%	42%	17%	7%	4%	2236	70%	70%	70%	64%	71%	74%	72%	65%	70%
and promises.	Trust	74%	32%	42%	14%	8%	4%	1049	73%	73%	73%	70%	76%	76%	80%	71%	

Table 6
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Unit Leadership (Unit leaders and managers setting tone and values)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Score	S			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	48%	13%	35%	25%	17%	10%	3389	47%	46%	45%	44%	67%	66%			
Arbitrary action and personal favoritism are not tolerated.*	Federal	46%	13%	33%	26%	18%	11%	2256	44%	44%	41%	41%	64%	63%	59%		53%
	Trust	52%	13%	39%	22%	17%	9%	1133	53%	52%	53%	51%	75%	75%	69%		
I can disclose a suspected	All Employees	72%	29%	43%	17%	7%	4%	3267	69%	68%	68%	70%	71%	71%			
violation of any law, rule or regulation without fear of	Federal	70%	27%	43%	18%	7%	5%	2175	65%	65%	65%	66%	68%	70%	66%		62%
reprisal.	Trust	76%	31%	44%	15%	7%	3%	1092	78%	76%	77%	82%	82%	79%	80%		
My Unit's Director reviews and	All Employees	67%	27%	41%	22%	6%	4%	3126	69%	68%	67%	69%	72%	73%			
evaluates my Unit's progress toward meeting its goals and	Federal	66%	25%	41%	24%	6%	4%	2134	66%	66%	65%	64%	70%	71%	72%	65%	60%
objectives.	Trust	71%	30%	41%	19%	6%	4%	992	71%	71%	71%	73%	77%	76%	82%	74%	
Managers in my Unit support	All Employees	71%	26%	45%	14%	10%	4%	3490	69%	68%	70%	68%	67%	64%			
collaboration across work units to accomplish work objectives.	Federal	70%	25%	45%	15%	10%	4%	2300	67%	67%	67%	66%	66%	62%	58%		56%
to accomplish work objectives.	Trust	74%	29%	45%	13%	9%	5%	1190	72%	71%	74%	73%	72%	70%	68%		
I am fully satisfied with my	All Employees	81%	36%	45%	10%	6%	3%	3452	79%	79%	81%	80%	82%	81%			
preparing my annual	Federal	81%	37%	44%	11%	5%	3%	2285	77%	78%	80%	79%	81%	81%	77%	74%	
performance plan.	Trust	81%	35%	46%	10%	7%	2%	1167	82%	83%	85%	85%	86%	85%	85%	84%	

^{*} Prior to 2013, this question was: "Arbitrary action, personal favoritism and coercion for partisan political purposes are not tolerated." This is still the wording for FEVS.

Table 6 (continued)

2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey

Segmented by Workorce Components

Unit Leadership (Unit leaders and managers setting tone and values) (continued)

					2017	SEPS					Earli	er SEPS Favo	orable Scores	S			
	Smithsonian Workforce	2017 SEPS Favorable Score	Strongly		Uncertain whether to agree or		Strongly	Number of Participating Employees									Government wide
2017 SEPS Question	Component	(%SA+%A)	agree	Agree	disagree	Disagree	disagree	(unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	(2016 FEVS)
My Unit's Director generates	All Employees	62%	27%	35%	19%	12%	7%	3286	61%	60%	60%	59%	63%	63%			
high levels of motivation and commitment in the workforce.	Federal	62%	27%	35%	20%	11%	7%	2242	61%	61%	61%	57%	62%	62%	61%	56%	41%
	Trust	62%	27%	36%	16%	15%	6%	1044	60%	58%	58%	60%	63%	63%	63%	58%	
My Unit's Director maintains	All Employees	73%	34%	39%	18%	5%	4%	3237	73%	72%	72%	71%	74%	73%			
high standards of honesty and integrity.	Federal	72%	33%	39%	19%	5%	4%	2204	70%	71%	71%	68%	72%	70%	70%		52%
	Trust	76%	37%	39%	16%	6%	3%	1033	74%	77%	77%	76%	78%	80%	81%		
	All Employees	75%	37%	39%	14%	7%	4%	3311	74%	73%	73%	73%	74%	73%			
I have a high level of respect for my Unit's Director.	Federal	75%	37%	38%	14%	7%	4%	2252	72%	72%	72%	70%	72%	71%	70%	67%	53%
I have a high level of respect for my Unit's Director.		76%	37%	39%	13%	8%	4%	1059	73%	74%	74%	75%	75%	78%	78%	75%	
	All Employees	75%	43%	33%	12%	7%	5%	3531	75%	74%	74%	73%	74%	75%			
I have trust and confidence in my supervisor.		75%	40%	34%	13%	7%	5%	2329	73%	71%	71%	70%	71%	73%	69%	64%	67%
	Trust	77%	48%	29%	10%	7%	6%	1202	79%	80%	80%	82%	81%	81%	81%	75%	
Overall my immediate	All Employees	74%	39%	35%	13%	8%	5%	3509	74%	72%	72%	71%	72%	73%			
supervisor is an effective	Federal	73%	37%	36%	15%	7%	5%	2312	72%	70%	70%	69%	70%	71%	69%	65%	70%
Supervisor.	Trust	74%	42%	32%	10%	9%	6%	1197	76%	75%	75%	76%	77%	76%	76%	72%	

Table 7
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Job Satisfaction (output and use of skills)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Score	S			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	81%	39%	43%	9%	7%	3%	3551	80%	79%	81%	82%	81%	82%			
Overall, I am satisfied with my job.	Federal	82%	39%	43%	9%	6%	3%	2342	79%	78%	80%	81%	79%	81%	82%	79%	66%
	Trust	81%	37%	43%	9%	7%	3%	1209	81%	83%	83%	85%	85%	86%	88%	86%	
	All Employees	90%	52%	38%	6%	3%	1%	3541	90%	89%	90%	90%	88%	91%			1
I like the kind of work I do.	Federal	90%	53%	37%	6%	3%	1%	2334	89%	91%	89%	89%	87%	90%	90%	91%	83%
	Trust	90%	51%	40%	6%	3%	0%	1207	90%	85%	92%	92%	91%	92%	92%	91%	
	All Employees	82%	42%	40%	9%	6%	3%	3552	81%	82%	82%	82%	81%	83%			1
My work gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment.	Federal	81%	42%	39%	10%	6%	3%	2343	79%	80%	80%	80%	79%	83%	85%	81%	72%
	Trust	83%	42%	41%	8%	6%	3%	1209	83%	86%	86%	85%	86%	86%	90%	85%	
	All Employees	80%	43%	36%	8%	7%	5%	3539	78%	78%	78%	76%	75%	77%			
My job makes good use of my knowledge and abilities.	Federal	78%	43%	36%	8%	8%	5%	2332	77%	76%	76%	75%	73%	75%	76%	74%	58%
	Trust	82%	44%	38%	8%	6%	3%	1207	80%	83%	83%	79%	80%	81%	82%	80%	

Table 8
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Career Growth (availability and support for career growth and development opportunities)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Favo	orable Score	S			
	Smithsonian Workforce	2017 SEPS Favorable Score	Strongly		Uncertain whether to agree or		Strongly	Number of Participating Employees									Government wide
2017 SEPS Question	Component	(%SA+%A)	agree	Agree	disagree	Disagree	disagree	(unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	(2016 FEVS)
In my Unit, time is made	All Employees	73%	28%	45%	13%	10%	5%	3505	73%	70%	70%	70%					
available for education and training activities.	Federal	73%	28%	46%	13%	9%	5%	2316	72%	69%	69%	70%					
	Trust	72%	29%	44%	12%	11%	4%	1189	76%	77%	77%	75%					
My supervisor regularly	All Employees	67%	28%	39%	16%	12%	5%	3406	66%	65%	65%	62%	63%	63%			
evaluates my training needs for my present job.	Federal	68%	29%	39%	16%	12%	4%	2253	66%	64%	64%	62%	62%	64%	60%	55%	53%
	Trust	64%	27%	37%	17%	13%	6%	1153	68%	68%	68%	64%	65%	63%	60%	58%	
My supervisor provides	All Employees	73%	35%	38%	15%	8%	4%	3432	73%	71%	71%	71%	71%	71%			
to demonstrate their leadership		73%	34%	39%	15%	7%	4%	2259	72%	69%	69%	68%	69%	69%	70%	67%	66%
employees with opportunities to demonstrate their leadership skills.	Trust	73%	36%	37%	15%	8%	5%	1173	75%	76%	76%	78%	77%	75%	73%	73%	
I have adequate access to	All Employees	62%	19%	43%	19%	13%	6%	3335	60%	57%	57%	54%					
career planning and career growth tools and opportunities.	Federal	64%	20%	44%	19%	11%	6%	2210	61%	57%	57%	55%					
	Trust	57%	17%	40%	20%	16%	7%	1125	58%	60%	60%	50%					
I am satisfied with my choices, and the quality, of Smithsonian	All Employees	67%	21%	46%	18%	11%	4%	3354	65%	62%	62%	65%	66%	63%			
provided training to improve my performance in my present		69%	22%	47%	17%	10%	4%	2228	66%	61%	61%	66%	67%	65%	65%	60%	53%
job.	Trust	62%	18%	44%	21%	12%	4%	1126	63%	65%	65%	66%	65%	63%	63%	56%	

Table 8 (continued)

2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey

Segmented by Workorce Components

Career Growth (availability and support for career growth and development opportunities)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Score	5			
		2017 SEPS			Uncertain			Number of									
	Smithsonian	Favorable			whether to			Participating									Government
	Workforce	Score	Strongly		agree or		Strongly	Employees									wide
2017 SEPS Question	Component	(%SA+%A)	agree	Agree	disagree	Disagree	disagree	(unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	(2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	75%	38%	37%	14%	6%	4%	3424	75%	73%	73%	77%	76%	78%			
My supervisor supports career		15%	36/0	31 /0	14 /0	0 /0	470	3424	15/0	13/0	13%	1170	10%	1670			
development. (Previous years	Federal	76%	38%	38%	15%	6%	4%	2268	74%	70%	70%	74%	75%	77%	75%	72%	66%
used "employee		16%	36%	36%	15%	6%	470	2208	14%	10%	10%	1470	15%	1 1 70	75%	1270	00%
development.")	Trust	76%	40%	36%	14%	6%	5%	1156	79%	79%	79%	83%	82%	81%	77%	77%	
		16%	40%	36%	14%	6%	5%	1136	19%	19%	19%	63%	62 %	81%	1 1 70	1170	

Table 9
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Recognition (equitable (fair) recognition and reward for performance)

					2017	SEPS					Earli	ier SEPS Fav	orable Scores	3			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
	All Employees		_	_	_										2010	2003	(2010 FEV3)
Individual pay raises depend on		44%	13%	31%	25%	17%	13%	3165	44%	41%	41%	41%	43%	45%			
how well individual employees perform their jobs.	Federal	42%	12%	30%	27%	18%	14%	2097	41%	37%	37%	38%	40%	45%	36%	37%	22%
	Trust	49%	14%	34%	23%	16%	12%	1068	45%	44%	44%	44%	48%	47%	42%	39%	
	All Employees	43%	13%	30%	30%	15%	12%	3095	44%	39%	39%	45%	45%	43%			
Grade promotions in my Unit are based on merit.	Federal	41%	13%	28%	31%	16%	12%	2068	41%	37%	37%	42%	42%	41%	40%	38%	34%
_	Trust	48%	14%	34%	28%	14%	11%	1027	47%	44%	44%	53%	53%	51%	48%	46%	
	All Employees	59%	17%	42%	22%	11%	8%	3209	57%	54%	54%	52%	53%	52%			
unit depend on now well	Federal	58%	17%	41%	22%	11%	9%	2146	55%	53%	53%	50%	53%	52%	50%	50%	41%
Recognition and awards in my Unit depend on how well employees perform their jobs.	Trust	61%	17%	44%	21%	11%	8%	1063	61%	57%	57%	56%	55%	53%	53%	50%	
employees perform their jobs. Trust In my Unit, positive and	All Employees	50%	13%	37%	26%	15%	9%	3304	47%	45%	45%	46%	48%	47%			
negative individual performances are recognized in	Federal	50%	13%	37%	27%	14%	9%	2183	46%	43%	43%	44%	46%	46%	42%	42%	34%
a meaningful way.	Trust	49%	13%	36%	25%	16%	9%	1121	49%	48%	48%	47%	52%	53%	48%	44%	
	All Employees	61%	24%	37%	23%	10%	6%	3070	60%	59%	59%	58%	59%	60%			
My supervisor takes steps to address a poor performer who cannot or will not improve.	Federal	61%	24%	37%	23%	10%	6%	2042	59%	58%	58%	56%	58%	60%	56%	53%	29%
cannot or will not improve.	Trust	60%	23%	37%	22%	11%	7%	1028	58%	61%	61%	60%	60%	60%	55%	45%	

Table 9 (continued)

2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey

Segmented by Workorce Components

Recognition (equitable (fair) recognition and reward for performance) (continued)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Scores	5			
	Smithsonian	2017 SEPS			Uncertain			Number of									
	Workforce	Favorable Score	Strongly		whether to agree or		Strongly	Participating Employees									Government wide
2017 SEPS Question	Component		agree	Agree	disagree	Disagree	disagree	(unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	(2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	82%	46%	37%	9%	5%	4%	3501	81%	81%	81%	80%	81%	82%			
My supervisor recognizes and acknowledges my positive work contributions.	Federal	81%	44%	37%	10%	5%	4%	2305	79%	79%	79%	77%	78%	81%	79%	75%	48%*
work contributions.	Trust	84%	49%	35%	7%	5%	4%	1196	85%	87%	87%	86%	87%	87%	85%	84%	
	All Employees	79%	35%	44%	11%	6%	3%	3387	77%	77%	77%	77%	76%	78%			
My performance appraisal is a fair reflection of my performance.	Federal	79%	35%	43%	12%	6%	4%	2255	74%	75%	75%	75%	76%	77%	73%	71%	70%
periormance.	Trust	81%	35%	47%	10%	6%	3%	1132	83%	85%	85%	82%	83%	86%	83%	82%	

^{*} FEVS question is "How satisfied are you with the recognition you receive for doing a good job?"

Table 10
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Resources (resources for performance)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Score	s			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	62%	20%	42%	13%	17%	8%	3494	61%	59%	59%	57%	57%	57%			
I have sufficient resources to get my job done.	Federal	61%	20%	41%	14%	16%	9%	2299	59%	57%	57%	55%	55%	55%	51%	51%	47%
	Trust	62%	19%	42%	12%	20%	7%	1195	62%	64%	64%	62%	64%	62%	60%	55%	
My immediate work unit has	All Employees	89%	42%	46%	7%	3%	1%	3498	88%	87%	87%	84%	85%	85%			
the job-relevant knowledge and skills necessary to accomplish		88%	40%	47%	8%	3%	1%	2303	87%	85%	85%	83%	83%	84%	84%	81%	69%*
organizational goals.	Trust	90%	46%	44%	6%	3%	1%	1195	90%	89%	89%	88%	90%	90%	90%	86%	

Table 11
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Innovation (openness and acceptance of new ideas)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Scores	6			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
Smithsonian leaders and	All Employees	57%	13%	43%	26%	12%	6%	3447	54%	54%	55%	56%	55%				
managers support implementation of new ideas	Federal	57%	14%	43%	26%	12%	6%	2275	53%	55%	53%	54%	54%				
	Trust	56%	12%	44%	26%	13%	6%	1172	52%	54%	58%	60%	57%				
	All Employees	65%	24%	41%	19%	11%	5%	3503	65%	64%	64%	76%					
In my Unit, people value new ideas.	Federal	63%	23%	41%	20%	11%	6%	2302	62%	62%	61%	73%					
	Trust	67%	26%	40%	17%	11%	5%	1201	70%	69%	72%	81%					
	All Employees	53%	16%	37%	26%	16%	5%	3342	53%	52%	51%	45%					
My Unit has a process for conducting and evaluating new ideas.	Federal	53%	16%	37%	27%	15%	5%	2223	52%	52%	49%	43%					
iucas.	Trust	52%	16%	35%	25%	17%	6%	1119	52%	52%	55%	46%					

Table 12
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components

Accommodation (managers and supervisors listening to and accommodating employee workplace needs and requests)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Favo	orable Score	5			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	73%	23%	50%	17%	7%	3%	3347	74%	74%	74%	71%	68%	67%			(2020)
I am satisfied with Smithsonian work-life programs.	Federal	74%	24%	50%	17%	6%	3%	2217	73%	74%	74%	71%	69%	68%	65%		55%*
	Trust	71%	19%	52%	18%	8%	3%	1130	72%	75%	75%	72%	71%	65%	65%		
	All Employees	73%	41%	44%	9%	5%	2%	3498	82%	81%	81%	77%	77%				
My work environment is civil and respectful.	Federal	74%	38%	45%	10%	5%	2%	2307	80%	78%	78%	74%	75%				
	Trust	71%	45%	42%	7%	4%	2%	1191	86%	85%	85%	82%	82%				
In my Unit, employees are	All Employees	87%	38%	49%	7%	3%	1%	3492	88%	88%	88%	86%	86%	83%			
protected from health and safety hazards on the job.	Federal	87%	38%	49%	8%	3%	2%	2313	86%	87%	87%	84%	85%	82%	81%	79%	76%
salety nazarus on the job.	Trust	89%	39%	50%	7%	3%	1%	1179	91%	91%	91%	91%	92%	89%	88%	85%	
My unit's management is	All Employees	63%	20%	43%	19%	12%	5%	3434	63%	63%	63%						
aware of the areas that can make my job difficult to	Federal	63%	20%	43%	20%	12%	5%	2263	62%	62%	62%						
accomplish.	Trust	65%	20%	45%	18%	12%	6%	1171	64%	64%	64%						
My supervisor supports my	All Employees	84%	48%	36%	9%	4%	3%	3478	83%	83%	83%	82%	82%	83%			
need to balance work and other life issues.	Federal	84%	46%	38%	10%	4%	2%	2298	82%	81%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	79%	78%
51.15. III 5.55455.	Trust	86%	52%	34%	7%	3%	3%	1180	86%	87%	87%	87%	88%	89%	88%	86%	

^{*} FEVS question is "Senior leaders demonstrate support for Work/Life programs."

Table 12 (continued)

2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey

Segmented by Workorce Components

Accommodation (managers and supervisors listening to and accommodating employee workplace needs and requests) (continued)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Favo	rable Scores	S			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	86%	46%	40%	8%	4%	3%	3490	85%	85%	85%						
My immediate supervisor is flexible in how I get my job	Federal	85%	45%	40%	9%	4%	2%	2299	83%	85%	85%						
done.	Trust	88%	49%	39%	6%	3%	3%	1191	88%	88%	88%						
My level of work-related stress has a negative impact on my	All Employees	47%	10%	24%	19%	34%	13%	3397	45%	46%	46%						
work output. (%Favorable=%Strongly	Federal	45%	11%	24%	19%	32%	13%	2237	41%	42%	42%						
disagree + %Disagree)	Trust	51%	9%	22%	18%	38%	13%	1160	51%	52%	52%						
My supervisor provides	All Employees	75%	35%	40%	14%	7%	4%	3476	75%	73%	73%	73%	74%	74%			
constructive suggestions to improve my job performance.	Federal	75%	35%	40%	14%	7%	4%	2291	73%	71%	71%	71%	71%	72%	70%	66%	62%
	Trust	76%	36%	40%	12%	8%	4%	1185	76%	77%	77%	78%	79%	76%	75%	70%	
	All Employees	66%	18%	48%	14%	13%	7%	3489	63%	62%	62%	69%	67%	68%			
My workload is reasonable.	Federal	67%	19%	48%	15%	11%	7%	2296	62%	59%	59%	67%	66%	68%	66%	66%	58%
	Trust	64%	16%	48%	14%	15%	7%	1193	64%	66%	66%	73%	70%	69%	70%	68%	
My supervisor listens attentively to what I have to	All Employees	79%	42%	37%	11%	6%	4%	3513	79%	79%	79%	79%	80%	81%			
say. (Before 2013: My supervisor listens to what I	Federal	79%	40%	39%	12%	5%	4%	2314	77%	77%	77%	77%	78%	80%	79%		73%
have to say.)	Trust	81%	47%	34%	9%	6%	4%	1199	82%	83%	83%	83%	86%	86%	84%		

Table 13
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Diversity (workforce diversity)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Score	S			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	71%	23%	48%	18%	8%	4%	3456	72%	73%	73%	71%	71%	70%			
Smithsonian policies and programs promote diversity in	Federal	72%	24%	48%	17%	7%	3%	2284	71%	73%	73%	71%	71%	71%	70%	66%	58%
the workplace.	Trust	67%	20%	47%	19%	10%	4%	1172	70%	73%	72%	75%	72%	70%	66%	65%	
	All Employees	82%	38%	44%	11%	4%	3%	3507	82%	81%	81%	79%	79%	79%			
Differences among individuals are respected and valued by	Federal	80%	35%	45%	12%	5%	3%	2313	79%	79%	77%	76%	75%	75%	74%	73%	
employees within my Unit.	Trust	86%	43%	43%	8%	3%	2%	1194	85%	84%	86%	87%	86%	87%	87%	83%	
	All Employees	78%	38%	40%	16%	3%	3%	3287	77%	77%	78%	76%	78%	80%			
My supervisor is committed to a workforce representative of	Federal	77%	37%	40%	17%	4%	3%	2170	74%	75%	76%	74%	76%	79%	74%	71%	68%
all segments of society.	Trust	79%	40%	39%	16%	2%	3%	1117	81%	81%	82%	83%	85%	84%	84%	80%	
Within the past twelve months, I	All Employees	77%	5%	8%	10%	34%	43%	3314	76%	75%	77%	73%	72%	75%			
have heard language, or witnessed behavior, in my workplace that I considered insensitive to my	Federal	74%	5%	10%	11%	34%	40%	2167	70%	72%	73%	68%	67%	70%	73%		
identity. (Disagree is favorable)	Trust	83%	4%	5%	8%	32%	51%	1147	85%	83%	85%	83%	83%	87%	85%		

Table 14
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Civility (civility within the workforce)

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Score	S			
2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Workforce Component	2017 SEPS Favorable Score (%SA+%A)	Strongly agree	Agree	Uncertain whether to agree or disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Government wide (2016 FEVS)
Generally, employees,	All Employees	79%	31%	48%	10%	8%	4%	3536	75%	75%	75%	74%	76%	79%			
supervisors, and managers in my unit are civil, respectful, and courteous in dealing with	Federal	77%	28%	49%	11%	8%	4%	2335	72%	73%	72%	71%	73%	77%			
each other.	Trust	82%	37%	45%	8%	7%	3%	1201	80%	79%	79%	80%	81%	84%			
In our work culture, people feel	All Employees	55%	18%	37%	21%	14%	10%	3442	53%	53%	52%						
opinions without it having a negative impact on their	Federal	53%	17%	36%	22%	14%	10%	2271	50%	51%	48%						
career.	Trust	58%	18%	40%	19%	13%	9%	1171	57%	55%	60%						
I feel that I can bring up issues of incivility and disrespect to	All Employees	73%	37%	36%	13%	8%	6%	3410	71%	71%	70%	70%	72%				
my supervisor or superiors with	Federal	72%	36%	36%	14%	8%	6%	2252	69%	70%	69%	66%	70%				
the expectation that those issues will be addressed.	Trust	75%	39%	36%	12%	8%	6%	1158	74%	75%	74%	78%	77%				
	All Employees	85%	41%	44%	9%	5%	2%	3498	82%	81%	81%	77%	77%				
My work environment is civil and respectful.	Federal	83%	38%	45%	10%	5%	2%	2307	80%	78%	78%	74%	75%				
	Trust	87%	45%	42%	7%	4%	2%	1191	86%	85%	85%	82%	82%				

Table 15
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Telework Work Schedule Questions

2017 SEPS Question	Smithsonian Employee Workforce Component	I telework on a regular basis (at least one entire work day a week)	(less than one entire work	I do not telework because I have to be physically present on the job	telework because I have technical issues that prevent me from	I do not telework because I am not allowed to, even though I have the kind of job where I can telework.	because I choose not to	No manager or supervisor in my Unit has explained teleworking to me.	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)
	All Employees	9%	24%	33%	2%	10%	14%	0.07	3525
	Federal	8%	21%	40%	2%	9%	13%	0.06	2323
	Trust	13%	30%	18%	2%	13%	15%	0.08	1202
Select the answer that best describes your teleworking	2016 All Employees	9%	24%	33%	2%	11%	14%	7%	3625
situation.	2015 All Employees	8%	24%	30%	2%	11%	16%	8%	3301
	2015 All Employees	8%	24%	30%	2%	11%	16%	8%	3301
	2014 All Employees	8%	21%	33%	3%	12%	14%	9%	3428
	2013 All Employees	7%	20%	33%	3%	11%	15%	11%	3599

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Favo	orable Score	6			
	Smithsonian Workforce	Score	Strongly		Uncertain whether to agree or		Strongly	Number of Participating Employees									Government wide
2017 SEPS Question	Component	(%SA+%A)	agree	Agree	disagree	Disagree	disagree	(unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	(2016 FEVS)
	All Employees	79%	30%	49%	11%	8%	2%	1266	78%	77%	77%	76%	75%	69%			
I am satisfied with telework programs in my Unit.	Federal	78%	30%	48%	12%	8%	2%	738	77%	76%	76%	76%	76%	67%	62%		79%
	Trust	81%	31%	50%	9%	9%	1%	528	80%	77%	77%	78%	74%	72%	63%		

Table 16
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Alternative Work Schedule Questionss

2017 SEPS Question	Employee Workforce	I work an Alternative Working Schedule (AWS).	in my Unit has explained	I do not work an AWS because I have to be physically present on the job	I do not work an AWS because I am not allowed to, even though I have the kind of job where I can.	I do not work an AWS because I choose not to.	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)
	All Employees	23%	13%	17%	9%	37%	3524
	Federal	27%	10%	20%	8%	35%	2324
	Trust	14%	20%	13%	11%	42%	1200
Select the answer that best describes your Alternative	2016 All Employees	23%	13%	16%	10%	38%	3490
Working Schedule (AWS) situation.	2015 All Employees	18%	14%	20%	12%	36%	3304
	2015 All Employees	18%	14%	20%	12%	36%	3304
	2014 All Employees	19%	12%	21%	14%	34%	3273
	2013 All Employees	19%	15%	21%	13%	31%	3578

					2017	SEPS					Earl	ier SEPS Fav	orable Score	S			
		2017 SEPS			Uncertain			Number of									
	Smithsonian	Favorable			whether to			Participating									Government
	Workforce	Score	Strongly		agree or		Strongly	Employees									wide
2017 SEPS Question	Component	(%SA+%A)	agree	Agree	disagree	Disagree	disagree	(unweighted)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	(2016 FEVS)
i am satisfied with	All Employees	92%	56%	36%	4%	2%	2%	787	91%	93%	93%	91%	91%	91%			
Alternative Work Schedules (AWS)	Federal	92%	59%	33%	4%	2%	2%	624	90%	93%	93%	92%	91%	92%	90%		90%
` ,	Trust	93%	45%	48%	4%	2%	1%	163	94%	94%	94%	91%	95%	90%	89%		

Table 17
2017 Smithsonian Employee Perspective Survey
Segmented by Workorce Components
Future Smithsonian Workforce Expectation Questions

2017 SEPS Question	Employee Workforce Component	No	Yes, to retire	Yes, to take a job within the Federal Government	Yes, to take a job outside the Federal Government	Yes, other	Uncertain	Prefer Not To Answer	Number of Participating Employees (unweighted)
	All Employees	58%	4%	4%	3%	6%	16%	9%	3433
Are you considering leaving the Smithsonian within the next	Federal	60%	4%	6%	2%	4%	16%	9%	2255
year, and, if so, why?	Trust	54%	3%	1%	5%	10%	17%	10%	1178
	2016 All Employees	57%	3%	5%	2%	6%	17%	10%	3501
	1								
	Smithsonian Employee	Yes, but there are few SI	Yes, but I	Yes, but there a no jobs in				Number of	
2017 SEPS Question	Workforce Component	jobs in my field	how to find another SI job	the Smithsonian	No	Not sure	Prefer Not To Answer	Participating Employees (unweighted)	
2017 SEPS Question		jobs in my	how to find	the	No 12%	Not sure		Employees	
Are you considering leaving the	Component All Employees	jobs in my field	how to find another SI job	the Smithsonian			Answer	Employees (unweighted)	
	Component All Employees	jobs in my field 52%	how to find another SI job 4%	the Smithsonian 10%	12%	18%	Answer 4%	Employees (unweighted) 440	